




2016 Community Report Los Alamos



Produced for the New Mexico Department of Transportation, Traffic Safety Division, Traffic Records Bureau, Under Contract 5801 by the University of New Mexico, Geospatial and Population Studies, Traffic Research Unit

Distributed in compliance with New Mexico Statute 66-7-214 as a reference source regarding New Mexico traffic crashes

For the purposes of this report, data are compiled by the University of New Mexico, Geospatial and Population Studies, Traffic Research Unit (TRU), on behalf of the New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT). Data in this report may differ from that in other data sources, such as the Federal Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS), due to the timing of publications and rules for how data are compiled and maintained in Federal vs. State databases. If you have questions regarding this report, please contact the Traffic Safety Division at 505-827-0427.

http://tru.unm.edu/Crash-Reports/Community-Reports/





Definitions

Aggravated DWI – A driver arrested for 1) driving with a BAC of 0.16 or higher, 2) driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs and causing bodily injury to a human being as a result, or 3) driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs and refusing to submit to a BAC test at the time of arrest for DWI.

Alcohol-involved Crash – A crash for which the Uniform Crash Report indicated that 1) a DWI citation was issued, 2) alcohol was a contributing factor, or 3) a person in control of a vehicle (including a pedestrian or pedalcyclist) was suspected of being under the influence of alcohol.

Alcohol-involved Driver – A person in control of a vehicle who was cited for DWI or indicated on the Uniform Crash Report as being either suspected or determined by testing to be under the influence of alcohol. There can be multiple alcohol-involved drivers in a single alcohol-involved crash.

Crash – A reported incident on a public roadway involving one or more motor vehicles that resulted in death, personal injury, or at least \$500 in property damage. Crashes on private property (such as a parking lot) are not included. **DWI Arrest (Citation)** – In this report, a DWI arrest (a.k.a. a DWI citation) is a driver arrested for either DWI or aggravated DWI. New Mexico's legal limit for presumption of driving while intoxicated (DWI) is 0.08 for non-commercial drivers older than 21 years of age, 0.04 for commercial vehicle drivers, and 0.02 for drivers younger than 21 years of age.

DWI Conviction – A driver convicted of driving under the intoxicating influence of alcohol, narcotics, or pathogenic drugs, including aggravated DWI.

Fatal Crash – A crash in which at least one person was killed. More than one person can be killed in a single fatal crash. Fatalities – The number of people killed in a crash. The terms "killed" and "deaths" are synonymous with "fatalities." A fatality is crash-related if it occurs at the time of the crash or if the person(s) involved in the crash dies within 30 days. Injury Crash – A reported crash in which at least one person was injured. Injury crashes involve at least one suspected serious injury (Class A), suspected minor injury (Class B), or possible injury (Class C). Fatal crashes are not included. Missing Data – An indication that the applicable field on the UCR form was left blank or contained an invalid code. Starting with crashes that occurred in 2012, improvements in the identification of missing data in the NMDOT crash database led to an increase in the reported amount of missing data.

Pedalcyclist – A person riding a mechanism of transport that is powered solely by pedals (a.k.a. bicyclist). **Pedestrian** – A person on foot, walking, running, jogging, hiking, sitting or lying down who is involved in a motor vehicle traffic crash.

Sources

Crash Data – New Mexico Department of Transportation, Traffic Safety Division, Traffic Records Bureau, Traffic Crash Database, as of the report date below. Crash data are compiled using NMDOT Uniform Crash Reports (UCR), submitted by law enforcement agencies in the state, for any incident on a public roadway involving one or more motor vehicles that resulted in death, injury, or at least \$500 in property damage. These reports are processed by the NMDOT Traffic Records Bureau and analyzed by the University of New Mexico, Geospatial and Population Studies, Traffic Research Unit (TRU).

DWI Citation Tracking System (CTS) – New Mexico Taxation and Revenue Department (NM TRD), Motor Vehicle Division (MVD), DWI Citation Tracking System (CTS), as of December 2017. Repeat offenders are identified by the combination of account key, arrest date, and citation number. County data are based upon the county where the arrest took place. City data are based upon the city where the offender resides.

Urban Areas – New Mexico Department of Transportation, Asset Management and Planning, 2010 U.S. Census Urbanized Area Boundaries, NMDOT-Adjusted, and U.S. Census Urban Clusters, August 21, 2013. In crashes before 2013, "urban" was defined as a town or city with a population of at least 2,500 people.





Table 1: Total Crashes and Alcohol-involved Crashes by Crash Severity in Los Alamos, 2007-2016

		Total C	Crashes		Alcohol-involved Crashes					
Year	Fatal	Injury	Property Damage Only	Total	Fatal	Injury	Property Damage Only	Total		
2007	1	63	150	214	1	8	3	12		
2008	0	42	130	172	0	1	6	7		
2009	1	57	141	199	0	3	6	9		
2010	1	42	88	131	0	1	3	4		
2011	1	31	90	122	0	3	3	6		
2012	0	20	64	84	0	0	2	2		
2013	0	12	47	59	0	1	2	3		
2014	2	15	29	46	0	2	0	2		
2015	0	23	62	85	0	0	0	0		
2016	0	29	64	93	0	1	3	4		

Figure 1: Alcohol-involved Fatal and Injury Crashes Compared with Non-alcohol-involved Fatal and Injury Crashes in Los Alamos, 2007-2016








Table 2: Crashes by Month in Los Alamos, 2012-2016

Month			Crashes			5-Year
IVIOIILII	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average
January	7	8	8	5	9	7
February	8	3	4	12	9	7
March	10	3	11	3	6	7
April	5	2	5	5	5	4
May	3	1	5	5	8	4
June	13	10	0	6	12	8
July	8	8	6	4	6	6
August	7	4	6	10	6	7
September	6	5	0	8	6	5
October	17	10	0	9	8	9
November	0	5	1	11	14	6
December	0	0	0	7	4	2
Total Crashes	84	59	46	85	93	73


Table 3: Alcohol-involved Crashes by Month in Los Alamos, 2012-2016

Month		Alcoho	ol-involved C	rashes		5-Year
WIOTICII	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average
January	0	0	1	0	1	0
February	0	0	1	0	0	0
March	0	0	0	0	0	0
April	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	1	0
June	0	3	0	0	0	1
July	1	0	0	0	0	0
August	0	0	0	0	0	0
September	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	1	0
November	0	0	0	0	1	0
December	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Crashes	2	3	2	0	4	2






Figure 2: Crashes by Hour in Los Alamos, 2016



* In 2016, Los Alamos had 0 crashes for which hour data were missing.

Figure 3: Alcohol-involved Crashes by Hour in Los Alamos, 2016



* In 2016, Los Alamos had 0 alcohol-involved crashes for which hour data were missing.





Table 4: Alcohol-involved Crashes by Day of Week in Los Alamos, 2012-2016

Day of Week		5-Year				
Day of Week	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average
Sunday	0	0	0	0	1	0
Monday	0	0	1	0	0	0
Tuesday	0	0	0	0	1	0
Wednesday	0	0	1	0	0	0
Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Friday	2	2	0	0	1	1
Saturday	0	1	0	0	1	0
Total Crashes	2	3	2	0	4	2

Table 5: Fatal and Injury Crashes by Day of Week in Los Alamos, 2012-2016

Day of Week		5-Year				
Day of Week	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average
Sunday	1	1	1	1	2	1
Monday	3	1	4	2	7	3
Tuesday	4	3	2	5	6	4
Wednesday	2	1	3	5	5	3
Thursday	4	3	3	7	5	4
Friday	6	3	2	3	4	4
Saturday	0	0	2	0	0	0
Total Crashes	20	12	17	23	29	20


Table 6: Pedestrian and Pedalcyclist Crashes by Day of Week in Los Alamos, 2012-2016

Day of Week		5-Year				
bay of week	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average
Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monday	1	1	0	0	0	0
Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wednesday	1	1	1	0	0	1
Thursday	1	1	0	1	0	1
Friday	1	0	0	0	0	0
Saturday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Crashes	4	3	1	1	0	2






Figure 4: Fatal and Injury Crashes by Hour in Los Alamos, 2016



^{*} In 2016, Los Alamos had 0 crashes for which hour data were missing.

Figure 5: Pedestrian and Pedalcyclist Crashes by Hour in Los Alamos, 2016



^{*} In 2016, Los Alamos had 0 crashes for which hour data were missing.





Table 7: Severity of Injuries to People in Crashes by Rural and Urban Location in Los Alamos, 2016

		People in Cra	shes by Sever	ity of Injuries			
Urban and Rural Locations by Alcohol-involvement	Fatalities (Class K)	Suspected Serious Injuries (Class A)	Suspected Minor Injuries (Class B)	Possible Injuries (Class C)	No Apparent Injuries (Class O)	Total People	
People in Alcohol-involved Crashes	0	0	0	1	8	9	
Urban	0	0	0	1	8	9	
Rural Non-Interstate	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Rural Interstate	0	0	0	0	0	0	
People in Crashes	0	2	6	37	182	227	
Urban	0	2	6	37	180	225	
Rural Non-Interstate	0	0	0	0	2	2	
Rural Interstate	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent in Alcohol-involved Crashes	0%	0%	0%	3%	4%	4%	

Table 8: Total Crashes by Roadway System and Crash Severity in Los Alamos, 2012-2016

Crash Severity by System		C	Crashes by Yea	nr		5-Year
Crash Severity by System	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average
Total Rural Interstate	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fatal Crash	0	0	0	0	0	0
Injury Crash	0	0	0	0	0	0
Property Damage Only Crash	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Rural Non-Interstate	0	15	8	0	1	5
Fatal Crash	0	0	1	0	0	0
Injury Crash	0	5	4	0	0	2
Property Damage Only Crash	0	10	3	0	1	3
Total Urban	84	44	38	85	92	68
Fatal Crash	0	0	1	0	0	0
Injury Crash	20	7	11	23	29	18
Property Damage Only Crash	64	37	26	62	63	50





Table 9: Total Crashes by Crash Classification in Los Alamos, 2012-2016

0 101 15 11		Tota	l Crashes by	Year		5-Year
Crash Classification	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average
Animal	3	4	8	3	1	4
Fixed Object	9	17	0	12	6	9
Other (Non-Collision)	1	2	1	2	3	2
Other (Object)	1	1	0	2	0	1
Other Vehicle	56	24	28	55	73	47
Overturn/Rollover	1	3	3	1	2	2
Parked Vehicle	3	2	3	7	4	4
Pedalcyclist	2	2	0	1	0	1
Pedestrian	2	1	1	0	0	1
Railroad Train	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rollover	0	0	0	1	1	0
Vehicle on Other Road	0	0	0	1	2	1
Missing Data	6	3	2	0	1	2
Total Crashes	84	59	46	85	93	73

Table 10: Vehicles in Crashes by Vehicle Type in Los Alamos, 2012-2016

1		Vehicles in	Crashes by V	ehicle Type		5-Year
Vehicle Type ¹	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average
Bus	1	0	0	1	1	1
Motorcycle	2	3	3	2	3	3
Passenger	84	45	39	77	99	69
Pedalcyclist	3	2	0	1	0	1
Pedestrian	1	1	1	0	0	1
Pickup	25	14	15	26	39	24
Semi	9	2	2	8	2	5
Van/SUV/4WD	26	20	20	36	32	27
Other Vehicle	2	3	0	3	0	2
Missing Data	4	6	1	4	8	5
Total Vehicles	157	96	81	158	184	135

¹ Pedestrians and pedalcyclists are counted as non-motorized vehicles, when involved in a crash with a motor vehicle. See Page 17 for data on drivers of non-motorized vehicles in crashes (i.e. pedestrians and pedalcyclists).





Table 11: Motor Vehicle Drivers in Crashes by Vehicle Type and Age Group in Los Alamos, 2016

		Mot	or Vehicle ¹	Drivers by \	/ehicle Type	and Age G	roup		
Age Groups	Bus	Motor- cycle	Passenger	Pickup	Semi	Van 4WD SUV	Other Vehicle	Missing Data	Total Drivers
15-19	0	1	12	4	0	4	0	0	21
20-24	0	0	6	5	0	3	0	0	14
25-29	0	0	7	3	0	1	0	0	11
30-34	0	0	16	0	0	4	0	1	21
35-39	0	0	5	1	0	2	0	0	8
40-44	0	0	5	3	1	1	0	0	10
45-49	0	0	8	4	0	2	0	0	14
50-54	0	0	12	4	0	7	0	0	23
55-59	0	1	11	5	1	5	0	1	24
60-64	0	0	5	3	0	2	0	0	10
65-69	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	6
70 +	1	0	9	4	0	1	0	0	15
Missing Data	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	7
Total Drivers	1	3	99	39	2	32	0	8	184

Table 12: Alcohol-involved Motor Vehicle Drivers in Crashes by Vehicle Type and Age Group in Los Alamos, 2016


	А	lcohol-invo	lved Motor	Vehicle ¹ Dr	ivers by Veh	icle Type ar	nd Age Grou	ıp	
Age Groups	Bus	Motor- cycle	Passenger	Pickup	Semi	Van 4WD SUV	Other Vehicle	Missing Data	Total Drivers
15-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20-24	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
25-29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
35-39	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
40-44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
45-49	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
50-54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55-59	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
60-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
65-69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
70 +	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Drivers	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	4

¹See Page 17 for data on drivers of non-motorized vehicles in crashes (i.e. pedestrians and pedalcyclists).






Figure 6: Motor Vehicle Drivers in Crashes by Age Group and Sex in Los Alamos, 2016



^{*} In 2016, Los Alamos had 7 drivers in crashes for which age or sex data were missing.

Figure 7: Alcohol-involved Motor Vehicle Drivers in Crashes by Age Group and Sex in Los Alamos, 2016



^{*} In 2016, Los Alamos had 0 drivers in crashes for which age or sex data were missing.





Table 13: Alcohol-involved Motor Vehicle Drivers Under 21 (Ages 15-20) in Crashes in Los Alamos, 2012-2016

1		Year						
Age ¹	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Total		
15	0	0	0	0	0	0		
16	0	0	0	0	0	0		
17	0	0	0	0	0	0		
18	0	0	0	0	0	0		
19	0	0	0	0	0	0		
20	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total Drivers	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Table 14: Motor Vehicle Drivers Under 21 (Ages 15-20) in Crashes by Age, Sex and Alcohol-involvement in Los Alamos, 2016

		Total [Orivers		Alcohol-involved Drivers			
Age ¹	Se	х	Total Percent of		Sex		Total	Percent of
J	Male	Female	Drivers	Total	Male	Female	Drivers	Total
15	2	3	5	20%	0	0	0	0%
16	2	1	3	12%	0	0	0	0%
17	3	2	5	20%	0	0	0	0%
18	2	3	5	20%	0	0	0	0%
19	2	1	3	12%	0	0	0	0%
20	2	2	4	16%	0	0	0	0%
Total Drivers	13	12	25	100%	0	0	0	0%

¹ For analysis of drivers under age 21, when the driver age or sex are not identified on the crash report (typically hitand-run drivers), the driver data are considered unreliable and are excluded from the analysis.





Table 15: Frequency of Contributing Factors in Crashes by Crash Severity in Los Alamos, 2016

	Frequ	ency of Contributir	ng Factor ¹ by Crash Se	everity
Contributing Factors	Frequency in Fatal Crashes	Frequency in Injury Crashes	Frequency in Property Damage Only Crashes	Frequency in All Crashes
Human	0	47	94	141
Driver Inattention	0	12	29	41
Failed to Yield Right of Way	0	8	16	24
Following Too Closely	0	3	15	18
Other Improper Driving	0	7	8	15
Made Improper Turn	0	4	4	8
Disregarded Traffic Signal	0	3	2	5
Drove Left Of Center	0	3	2	5
Alcohol Involved	0	1	3	4
Avoid No Contact - Other	0	1	2	3
Improper Overtaking	0	1	2	3
Passed Stop Sign	0	1	2	3
Speed Too Fast for Conditions	0	1	2	3
Avoid No Contact - Vehicle	0	0	2	2
Improper Backing	0	0	2	2
Improper Lane Change	0	0	2	2
Cell Phone	0	1	0	1
Excessive Speed	0	1	0	1
Vehicle Skidded Before Brake	0	0	1	1
Driverless Moving Vehicle	0	0	0	0
Failed to Yield to Emergency Vehicle	0	0	0	0
Failed to Yield to Police Vehicle	0	0	0	0
High Speed Pursuit	0	0	0	0
Pedestrian Error	0	0	0	0
Texting	0	0	0	0
Drug Involved	0	0	0	0
Vehicle	0	0	5	5
Other Mechanical Defect	0	0	3	3
Defective Tires	0	0	1	1
Inadequate Brakes	0	0	1	1
Defective Steering	0	0	0	0
Environment	0	0	1	1
Traffic Control Not Functioning	0	0	1	1
Low Visibility Due to Smoke	0	0	0	0
Road Defect	0	0	0	0
Other	0	28	50	78
None	0	28	47	75
Other - No Driver Error	0	0	3	3

 $^{^{1}\,\}mathrm{Multiple}$ contributing factors may be reported for any vehicle in a crash.





Table 16: People in Crashes by Crash Classification and Severity of Injuries in Los Alamos, 2016

		People in Cra	shes by Sever	ity of Injuries		
Crash Classification	Fatalities (Class K)	Suspected Serious Injuries (Class A)	Suspected Minor Injuries (Class B)	Possible Injuries (Class C)	No Apparent Injuries (Class O)	Total People
Animal	0	0	0	1	0	1
Fixed Object	0	0	0	2	5	7
Other (Non-Collision)	0	0	0	1	2	3
Other (Object)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Vehicle	0	1	4	30	156	191
Overturn/Rollover	0	0	2	0	4	6
Parked Vehicle	0	0	0	0	9	9
Pedalcyclist	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pedestrian	0	0	0	0	0	0
Railroad Train	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rollover	0	1	0	3	0	4
Vehicle on Other Road	0	0	0	0	4	4
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	2	2
Total People	0	2	6	37	182	227






Table 17: Killed or Injured Unbelted People in Crashes by Sex and Age Group in Los Alamos, 2016

	Unbe	Ited People I	Cilled or Inju	red ^{1,2}	Total
Age Groups	Male	Percent of Male	Female	Percent of Female	People
0-4	0	0%	0	0%	0
5-9	0	0%	0	0%	0
10-14	0	0%	0	0%	0
15-19	0	0%	0	0%	0
20-24	0	0%	0	0%	0
25-29	0	0%	0	0%	0
30-34	0	0%	0	0%	0
35-39	0	0%	0	0%	0
40-44	0	0%	0	0%	0
45-49	0	0%	0	0%	0
50-54	0	0%	0	0%	0
55-59	0	0%	0	0%	0
60-64	0	0%	0	0%	0
65-69	0	0%	0	0%	0
70 +	0	0%	0	0%	0
Missing Data	0	0%	0	0%	0
Total People	0	0%	0	0%	0

 $^{^{1} \} People \ injured \ are \ in \ one \ of \ three \ categories: \ suspected \ serious \ injury, \ suspected \ minor \ injury, \ or \ possible \ injury.$

Figure 8: Seatbelt Use by People in Crashes with Fatal or Suspected Serious Injuries in Los Alamos, 2012-2016




² Excludes people in or on buses, heavy trucks, motorcycles, or ATVs.






Figure 9: People in Crashes by Age Group and Sex in Los Alamos, 2016



^{*} In 2016, Los Alamos had 7 people in crashes for which age or sex data were missing.

Figure 10: People in Alcohol-involved Crashes by Age Group and Sex in Los Alamos, 2016



^{*} In 2016, Los Alamos had 0 people in alcohol-involved crashes for which age or sex data were missing.





Table 18: Pedestrians and Pedalcyclists in Crashes by Age Group in Los Alamos, 2012-2016

Age Groups	F	Pedestrians a	nd Pedalcycli	sts ¹ in Crashe	s	5-Year Total
Age Gloups	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	People
0-4	0	0	0	0	0	0
5-9	0	0	0	0	0	0
10-14	1	0	0	1	0	2
15-19	1	0	0	0	0	1
20-24	0	0	0	0	0	0
25-29	0	0	0	0	0	0
30-34	0	0	0	0	0	0
35-39	0	0	0	0	0	0
40-44	0	0	0	0	0	0
45-49	0	0	0	0	0	0
50-54	1	0	0	0	0	1
55-59	1	2	0	0	0	3
60-64	0	0	0	0	0	0
65-69	0	0	0	0	0	0
70 +	0	0	1	0	0	1
Missing Data	0	1	0	0	0	1
Total People	4	3	1	1	0	9

Table 19: Pedestrians and Pedalcyclists in Crashes by Alcohol Involvement and Severity of Injuries in Los Alamos, 2016


	í	Pedestrians a	nd Pedalcyclis	sts ¹ in Crashe	s	
Alcohol Involvement	Fatalities (Class K)	Suspected Serious Injuries (Class A)	Suspected Minor Injuries (Class B)	Possible Injuries (Class C)	No Apparent Injury (Class O)	Total People
Total Pedalcyclists	0	0	0	0	0	0
Involved	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Involved	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Pedestrians	0	0	0	0	0	0
Involved	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Involved	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total People	0	0	0	0	0	0

¹ Pedestrians and pedalcyclists are counted as non-motorized vehicles, when involved in a crash with a motor vehicle.






Figure 11: DWI Arrests of Los Alamos Residents Throughout the State, Showing First and Repeat DWI Arrests, 2012-2016



*Values are based upon the year of the arrest.

Figure 12: DWI Convictions of Los Alamos Residents Throughout the State, Showing First and Repeat DWI Convictions, 2012-2016




*Values are based upon the year of the conviction.





Figure 13: DWI Arrests by Hour of Los Alamos Residents Throughout the State, 2016



^{*} In 2016, Los Alamos had 6 arrests for which hour data were missing.

Table 20: DWI Arrests by Day of Week of Los Alamos Residents Throughout the State, 2012-2016

Day of March			5-Year			
Day of Week	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average
Sunday	13	9	9	7	4	8
Monday	7	2	6	4	8	5
Tuesday	5	3	3	3	9	5
Wednesday	7	6	1	1	7	4
Thursday	3	5	7	5	10	6
Friday	6	12	7	6	11	8
Saturday	13	12	9	6	13	11
Total Arrests	54	49	42	32	62	48





Table 21: Driver First DWI Arrests by Age Group of Los Alamos Residents Throughout the State, 2012-2016

Ago Groups	Driver First DWI Arrests ¹						
Age Groups	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		
15-19	2	2	3	2	4		
20-24	12	3	5	3	9		
25-29	3	5	7	5	6		
30-34	3	4	3	0	5		
35-39	3	1	4	1	5		
40-44	3	5	0	1	1		
45-49	4	1	1	5	0		
50-54	4	4	1	2	1		
55-59	4	1	1	1	2		
60-64	1	0	0	3	1		
65-69	0	0	1	0	1		
70 +	0	0	0	0	1		
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	3		
Total Drivers	39	26	26	23	39		

¹ Values are based upon the year of the arrest.

Table 22: Driver Repeat DWI Arrests by Age Group of Los Alamos Residents Throughout the State, 2012-2016

Age Groups		Driver Repeat DWI Arrests ¹						
7.8c 6.0upo	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016			
15-19	1	0	0	0	1			
20-24	3	1	2	1	3			
25-29	3	2	4	3	4			
30-34	1	4	2	1	1			
35-39	2	3	0	1	5			
40-44	0	6	2	1	2			
45-49	1	0	2	0	3			
50-54	3	3	2	1	1			
55-59	0	3	0	1	2			
60-64	1	0	2	0	1			
65-69	0	0	0	0	0			
70 +	0	1	0	0	0			
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0			
Total Drivers	15	23	16	9	23			

¹ Values are based upon the year of the arrest.





Table 23: Driver First DWI Convictions by Age Group of Los Alamos Residents Throughout the State, 2012-2016

Ago Groups		Driver First DWI Convictions ¹						
Age Groups	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016			
15-19	1	1	1	2	2			
20-24	9	6	6	3	6			
25-29	4	3	7	5	3			
30-34	2	1	2	1	3			
35-39	0	2	3	0	3			
40-44	4	2	4	0	2			
45-49	3	2	0	5	1			
50-54	3	4	1	2	0			
55-59	3	0	1	1	2			
60-64	1	0	0	3	1			
65-69	0	0	0	1	0			
70 +	0	0	1	0	1			
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	1			
Total Drivers	30	21	26	23	25			

¹Values are based upon the year of the conviction.

Table 24: Driver Repeat DWI Convictions by Age Group of Los Alamos Residents Throughout the State, 2012-2016

Age Groups		Driver Repeat DWI Convictions ¹						
Age Groups	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016			
15-19	0	0	0	0	1			
20-24	2	0	0	1	1			
25-29	1	2	3	2	0			
30-34	1	2	2	0	1			
35-39	0	4	1	0	3			
40-44	0	2	3	1	0			
45-49	0	0	0	2	0			
50-54	1	2	4	0	1			
55-59	0	3	0	0	0			
60-64	0	0	1	0	0			
65-69	0	0	0	0	0			
70 +	0	0	0	0	0			
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0			
Total Drivers	5	15	14	6	7			

¹Values are based upon the year of the conviction.





Table 25: Court Disposition of DWI Arrests for the State and of Los Alamos Residents Throughout the State, 2016

Court Disposition of DWI Arrest ¹	Los Alamos Statewide		Percent of Statewide
Total DWI Arrests	62	10,344	0.6%
DWI Arrests Resulting in Convictions	40	5,541	0.7%
DWI Arrests Resulting in Dismissals ²	7	1,512	0.5%
DWI Arrests Awaiting Disposition 15		3,291	0.5%

¹ These are the number of DWI arrests in 2016 and whether the case resulted in a conviction or dismissal, or is still awaiting court disposition, as reported in the NM MVD Citation Tracking System (CTS) as of December 2017.

Table 26: Average Number of Days from Date of DWI Arrest to Date of Court Disposition for the State and of Los Alamos Residents Throughout the State, 2016

	Average Nur	Deviation from		
Court Disposition	Los Alamos	Statewide	Statewide Average	
DWI Conviction	125	157	-32	
DWI Dismissal	113	179	-66	

² For this table, a very small number of "not guilty" rulings may be included in the category Dismissals.






Table 27: Court Disposition of DWI Arrests of Los Alamos Residents Throughout the State, 2012-2016

Year of DWI		Total DWI			
Arrest ¹	First DWI Conviction	Repeat DWI Conviction	Dismissed	Awaiting Disposition	Arrests
2012	25	8	17	4	54
2013	22	16	9	2	49
2014	20	11	8	3	42
2015	19	5	5	3	32
2016	31	9	7	15	62

¹Values are based upon the year of the arrest.

Figure 14: Court Dispositions by Percentage of DWI Arrests of Los Alamos Residents Throughout the State, 2012-2016




*Table 27 contains the values used to calculate percentages shown in Figure 14.



Crashes in Los Alamos County, New Mexico, 2016

NATOT

Map created by the Traffic Research Unit, Geospatial & Population Studies at UNM





Crashes 2016

- 1 Crash
- ♦ 2 3 Crashes
- ♦ 5 7 Crashes

Data Source: NMDOT Crash File 2016 http://tru.unm.edu CO#5801 tru@unm.edu