




2016 Community Report De Baca County



Produced for the New Mexico Department of Transportation, Traffic Safety Division, Traffic Records Bureau, Under Contract 5801 by the University of New Mexico, Geospatial and Population Studies, Traffic Research Unit

Distributed in compliance with New Mexico Statute 66-7-214 as a reference source regarding New Mexico traffic crashes

For the purposes of this report, data are compiled by the University of New Mexico, Geospatial and Population Studies, Traffic Research Unit (TRU), on behalf of the New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT). Data in this report may differ from that in other data sources, such as the Federal Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS), due to the timing of publications and rules for how data are compiled and maintained in Federal vs. State databases. If you have questions regarding this report, please contact the Traffic Safety Division at 505-827-0427.

http://tru.unm.edu/Crash-Reports/Community-Reports/





Definitions

Aggravated DWI – A driver arrested for 1) driving with a BAC of 0.16 or higher, 2) driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs and causing bodily injury to a human being as a result, or 3) driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs and refusing to submit to a BAC test at the time of arrest for DWI.

Alcohol-involved Crash – A crash for which the Uniform Crash Report indicated that 1) a DWI citation was issued, 2) alcohol was a contributing factor, or 3) a person in control of a vehicle (including a pedestrian or pedalcyclist) was suspected of being under the influence of alcohol.

Alcohol-involved Driver – A person in control of a vehicle who was cited for DWI or indicated on the Uniform Crash Report as being either suspected or determined by testing to be under the influence of alcohol. There can be multiple alcohol-involved drivers in a single alcohol-involved crash.

Crash – A reported incident on a public roadway involving one or more motor vehicles that resulted in death, personal injury, or at least \$500 in property damage. Crashes on private property (such as a parking lot) are not included.

DWI Arrest (Citation) – In this report, a DWI arrest (a.k.a. a DWI citation) is a driver arrested for either DWI or aggravated DWI. New Mexico's legal limit for presumption of driving while intoxicated (DWI) is 0.08 for non-commercial drivers older than 21 years of age, 0.04 for commercial vehicle drivers, and 0.02 for drivers younger than 21 years of age.

DWI Conviction – A driver convicted of driving under the intoxicating influence of alcohol, narcotics, or pathogenic drugs, including aggravated DWI.

Fatal Crash – A crash in which at least one person was killed. More than one person can be killed in a single fatal crash. Fatalities – The number of people killed in a crash. The terms "killed" and "deaths" are synonymous with "fatalities." A fatality is crash-related if it occurs at the time of the crash or if the person(s) involved in the crash dies within 30 days. Injury Crash – A reported crash in which at least one person was injured. Injury crashes involve at least one suspected serious injury (Class A), suspected minor injury (Class B), or possible injury (Class C). Fatal crashes are not included. Missing Data – An indication that the applicable field on the UCR form was left blank or contained an invalid code. Starting with crashes that occurred in 2012, improvements in the identification of missing data in the NMDOT crash database led to an increase in the reported amount of missing data.

Pedalcyclist – A person riding a mechanism of transport that is powered solely by pedals (a.k.a. bicyclist). **Pedestrian** – A person on foot, walking, running, jogging, hiking, sitting or lying down who is involved in a motor vehicle traffic crash.

Sources

Crash Data – New Mexico Department of Transportation, Traffic Safety Division, Traffic Records Bureau, Traffic Crash Database, as of the report date below. Crash data are compiled using NMDOT Uniform Crash Reports (UCR), submitted by law enforcement agencies in the state, for any incident on a public roadway involving one or more motor vehicles that resulted in death, injury, or at least \$500 in property damage. These reports are processed by the NMDOT Traffic Records Bureau and analyzed by the University of New Mexico, Geospatial and Population Studies, Traffic Research Unit (TRU).

DWI Citation Tracking System (CTS) – New Mexico Taxation and Revenue Department (NM TRD), Motor Vehicle Division (MVD), DWI Citation Tracking System (CTS), as of December 2017. Repeat offenders are identified by the combination of account key, arrest date, and citation number. County data are based upon the county where the arrest took place. City data are based upon the city where the offender resides.

Urban Areas – New Mexico Department of Transportation, Asset Management and Planning, 2010 U.S. Census Urbanized Area Boundaries, NMDOT-Adjusted, and U.S. Census Urban Clusters, August 21, 2013. In crashes before 2013, "urban" was defined as a town or city with a population of at least 2,500 people.






		Total C	Alcohol-involved Crashes					
Year	Fatal	Injury	Property Damage Only	Total	Fatal	Injury	Property Damage Only	Total
2007	2	10	21	33	0	1	0	1
2008	1	6	21	28	0	0	0	0
2009	0	14	11	25	0	1	1	2
2010	0	11	20	31	0	2	0	2
2011	4	12	10	26	1	1	0	2
2012	1	8	9	18	0	0	0	0
2013	2	7	6	15	0	0	0	0
2014	0	11	35	46	0	3	2	5
2015	3	11	34	48	0	2	0	2
2016	5	12	36	53	3	1	0	4

Table 1: Total Crashes and Alcohol-involved Crashes by
Crash Severity in De Baca County, 2007-2016

Figure 1: Alcohol-involved Fatal and Injury Crashes Compared with Non-alcohol-involved Fatal and Injury Crashes in De Baca County, 2007-2016







Month		Crashes								
wonth	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average				
January	0	0	5	5	5	3				
February	0	0	5	5	2	2				
March	1	0	1	4	8	3				
April	1	0	1	2	3	1				
May	2	1	6	4	4	3				
June	4	2	6	7	6	5				
July	1	5	4	6	8	5				
August	0	1	2	1	7	2				
September	3	2	4	0	3	2				
October	1	0	4	2	3	2				
November	1	2	2	4	2	2				
December	4	2	6	8	2	4				
Total Crashes	18	15	46	48	53	36				

Table 2: Crashes by Month in De Baca County, 2012-2016

Month		5-Year				
wonth	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average
January	0	0	1	0	0	0
February	0	0	0	0	0	0
March	0	0	0	0	0	0
April	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	2	1	0	1
June	0	0	1	1	0	0
July	0	0	0	0	2	0
August	0	0	1	0	1	0
September	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	1	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Crashes	0	0	5	2	4	2








Figure 2: Crashes by Hour in De Baca County, 2016

* In 2016, De Baca County had 1 crashes for which hour data were missing.




Figure 3: Alcohol-involved Crashes by Hour in De Baca County, 2016

^{*} In 2016, De Baca County had 0 alcohol-involved crashes for which hour data were missing.





Table 4: Alcohol-involved Crashes by Day of Week in De Baca County, 2012-2016

Day of Week		Alcohol-involved Crashes							
Day Of Week	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average			
Sunday	0	0	2	0	1	1			
Monday	0	0	0	0	2	0			
Tuesday	0	0	0	1	0	0			
Wednesday	0	0	1	0	0	0			
Thursday	0	0	0	0	1	0			
Friday	0	0	1	0	0	0			
Saturday	0	0	1	1	0	0			
Total Crashes	0	0	5	2	4	2			

Table 5: Fatal and Injury Crashes by Day of Week in De Baca County, 2012-2016

Day of Week		Fatal and Injury Crashes							
Day of Week	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average			
Sunday	2	2	4	3	5	3			
Monday	0	2	1	1	6	2			
Tuesday	2	0	2	3	0	1			
Wednesday	0	0	1	2	0	1			
Thursday	2	2	1	2	2	2			
Friday	0	1	0	1	1	1			
Saturday	3	2	2	2	3	2			
Total Crashes	9	9	11	14	17	12			

Table 6: Pedestrian and Pedalcyclist Crashes by Day of Week in De Baca County, 2012-2016

Day of Week		i	5-Year			
Day Of Week	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average
Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monday	0	0	1	0	0	0
Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Thursday	0	0	0	1	0	0
Friday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saturday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Crashes	0	0	1	1	0	0








Figure 4: Fatal and Injury Crashes by Hour in De Baca County, 2016

* In 2016, De Baca County had 0 crashes for which hour data were missing.




Figure 5: Pedestrian and Pedalcyclist Crashes by Hour in De Baca County, 2016

* In 2016, De Baca County had 0 crashes for which hour data were missing.





Table 7: Severity of Injuries to People in Crashes byRural and Urban Location in De Baca County, 2016

		People in Cra	shes by Sever	ity of Injuries		
Urban and Rural Locations by Alcohol-involvement	Fatalities (Class K)	Suspected Serious Injuries (Class A)	Suspected Minor Injuries (Class B)	Possible Injuries (Class C)	Injuries Apparent	
People in Alcohol-involved Crashes	3	0	2	0	0	5
Urban	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rural Non-Interstate	3	0	2	0	0	5
Rural Interstate	0	0	0	0	0	0
People in Crashes	5	1	12	6	80	104
Urban	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rural Non-Interstate	5	1	12	6	80	104
Rural Interstate	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent in Alcohol-involved Crashes	60%	0%	17%	0%	0%	5%

Table 8: Total Crashes by Roadway System and Crash Severity in De Baca County, 2012-2016

Crash Severity by System		Crashes by Year						
clash sevency by system	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average		
Total Rural Interstate	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Fatal Crash	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Injury Crash	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Property Damage Only Crash	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total Rural Non-Interstate	9	15	46	48	53	34		
Fatal Crash	0	2	0	3	5	2		
Injury Crash	6	7	11	11	12	9		
Property Damage Only Crash	3	6	35	34	36	23		
Total Urban	9	0	0	0	0	1		
Fatal Crash	1	0	0	0	0	0		
Injury Crash	2	0	0	0	0	0		
Property Damage Only Crash	6	0	0	0	0	1		





Table 9: Total Crashes by Crash Classification in De Baca County, 2012-2016

		Tota	l Crashes by	Year		5-Year
Crash Classification	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average
Animal	2	0	13	5	14	7
Fixed Object	1	4	5	12	13	7
Other (Non-Collision)	1	1	1	4	1	2
Other (Object)	0	1	2	2	3	2
Other Vehicle	2	1	12	6	7	6
Overturn/Rollover	9	7	9	8	6	8
Parked Vehicle	2	1	2	2	0	1
Pedalcyclist	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pedestrian	0	0	1	1	0	0
Railroad Train	0	0	1	0	0	0
Rollover	0	0	0	8	8	3
Vehicle on Other Road	1	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	1	0
Total Crashes	18	15	46	48	53	36

Table 10: Vehicles in Crashes by Vehicle Type in De Baca County, 2012-2016

		Vehicles in Crashes by Vehicle Type							
Vehicle Type ¹	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average			
Bus	0	0	1	0	0	0			
Motorcycle	2	0	1	1	1	1			
Passenger	12	4	23	14	20	15			
Pedalcyclist	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Pedestrian	0	0	1	1	0	0			
Pickup	6	8	23	14	17	14			
Semi	1	2	5	9	7	5			
Van/SUV/4WD	1	2	8	13	18	8			
Other Vehicle	0	0	0	1	1	0			
Missing Data	0	0	2	4	1	1			
Total Vehicles	22	16	64	57	65	45			

¹ Pedestrians and pedalcyclists are counted as non-motorized vehicles, when involved in a crash with a motor vehicle. See Page 17 for data on drivers of non-motorized vehicles in crashes (i.e. pedestrians and pedalcyclists).





Table 11: Motor Vehicle Drivers in Crashes by Vehicle Typeand Age Group in De Baca County, 2016

		Mot	or Vehicle ¹	Drivers by \	/ehicle Type	e and Age G	roup		
Age Groups	Bus	Motor- cycle	Passenger	Pickup	Semi	Van 4WD SUV	Other Vehicle	Missing Data	Total Drivers
15-19	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	0	5
20-24	0	0	6	2	0	1	0	0	9
25-29	0	0	3	2	0	4	0	0	9
30-34	0	0	1	3	2	1	0	0	7
35-39	0	1	1	0	1	4	0	0	7
40-44	0	0	2	1	1	2	1	0	7
45-49	0	0	1	3	1	1	0	0	6
50-54	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	1	6
55-59	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
60-64	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	3
65-69	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
70 +	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Total Drivers	0	1	20	17	7	18	1	1	65

Table 12: Alcohol-involved Motor Vehicle Drivers in Crashes by Vehicle Typeand Age Group in De Baca County, 2016

	A	lcohol-invo	lved Motor	Vehicle ¹ Dri	ivers by Veh	nicle Type ar	nd Age Grou	ıp	
Age Groups	Bus	Motor- cycle	Passenger	Pickup	Semi	Van 4WD SUV	Other Vehicle	Missing Data	Total Drivers
15-19	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
20-24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25-29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
35-39	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
40-44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
45-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50-54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55-59	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
60-64	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
65-69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
70 +	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Drivers	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	4

¹See Page 17 for data on drivers of non-motorized vehicles in crashes (i.e. pedestrians and pedalcyclists).








Figure 6: Motor Vehicle Drivers in Crashes by Age Group and Sex in De Baca County, 2016

* In 2016, De Baca County had 1 drivers in crashes for which age or sex data were missing.




Figure 7: Alcohol-involved Motor Vehicle Drivers in Crashes by

* In 2016, De Baca County had 0 drivers in crashes for which age or sex data were missing.





Table 13: Alcohol-involved Motor Vehicle Drivers Under 21 (Ages 15-20) in Crashesin De Baca County, 2012-2016

1 ¹		5-Year				
Age ¹	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Total
15	0	0	0	0	1	1
16	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	1	0	0	1
19	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Drivers	0	0	1	0	1	2

Table 14: Motor Vehicle Drivers Under 21 (Ages 15-20) in Crashes by Age, Sex and Alcohol-involvement in De Baca County, 2016

	Total Drivers					Alcohol-involved Drivers			
Age ¹	Se	x	Total	Percent of	t of Sex		Total	Percent of	
-	Male	Female	Drivers	Total	Male	Female	Drivers	Total	
15	1	0	1	17%	1	0	1	100%	
16	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	
17	0	1	1	17%	0	0	0	0%	
18	1	0	1	17%	0	0	0	0%	
19	0	2	2	33%	0	0	0	0%	
20	1	0	1	17%	0	0	0	0%	
Total Drivers	3	3	6	100%	1	0	1	100%	

¹ For analysis of drivers under age 21, when the driver age or sex are not identified on the crash report (typically hitand-run drivers), the driver data are considered unreliable and are excluded from the analysis.





Table 15: Frequency of Contributing Factors in Crashesby Crash Severity in De Baca County, 2016

	Frequ	Frequency of Contributing Factor ¹ by Crash Severity								
Contributing Factors	Frequency in Fatal Crashes	Frequency in Injury Crashes	Frequency in Property Damage Only Crashes	Frequency in All Crashes						
Human	13	20	38	71						
Driver Inattention	3	9	7	19						
Excessive Speed	2	2	6	10						
Drove Left Of Center	2	4	3	9						
Other Improper Driving	1	3	3	7						
Avoid No Contact - Vehicle	0	0	4	4						
Alcohol Involved	3	1	0	4						
Improper Backing	0	0	3	3						
Speed Too Fast for Conditions	0	0	3	3						
Drug Involved	2	1	0	3						
High Speed Pursuit	0	0	2	2						
Improper Lane Change	0	0	2	2						
Avoid No Contact - Other	0	0	1	1						
Failed to Yield to Police Vehicle	0	0	1	1						
Failed to Yield Right of Way	0	0	1	1						
Made Improper Turn	0	0	1	1						
Passed Stop Sign	0	0	1	1						
Cell Phone	0	0	0	0						
Disregarded Traffic Signal	0	0	0	0						
Driverless Moving Vehicle	0	0	0	0						
Failed to Yield to Emergency Vehicle	0	0	0	0						
Following Too Closely	0	0	0	0						
Improper Overtaking	0	0	0	0						
Pedestrian Error	0	0	0	0						
Texting	0	0	0	0						
Vehicle Skidded Before Brake	0	0	0	0						
Vehicle	0	0	1	1						
Other Mechanical Defect	0	0	1	1						
Defective Steering	0	0	0	0						
Defective Tires	0	0	0	0						
Inadequate Brakes	0	0	0	0						
Environment	0	0	0	0						
Low Visibility Due to Smoke	0	0	0	0						
Road Defect	0	0	0	0						
Traffic Control Not Functioning	0	0	0	0						
Other	0	4	22	26						
None	0	2	17	19						
Other - No Driver Error	0	2	5	7						

¹ Multiple contributing factors may be reported for any vehicle in a crash.





Table 16: People in Crashes by Crash Classification andSeverity of Injuries in De Baca County, 2016

		People in Cra	shes by Sever	ity of Injuries		
Crash Classification	Fatalities (Class K)	Suspected Serious Injuries (Class A)	Suspected Minor Injuries (Class B)	Possible Injuries (Class C)	No Apparent Injuries (Class O)	Total People
Animal	0	0	0	1	20	21
Fixed Object	1	0	1	2	17	21
Other (Non-Collision)	0	0	0	0	1	1
Other (Object)	0	0	1	0	4	5
Other Vehicle	0	0	3	1	19	23
Overturn/Rollover	2	0	1	2	2	7
Parked Vehicle	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pedalcyclist	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pedestrian	0	0	0	0	0	0
Railroad Train	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rollover	2	1	6	0	8	17
Vehicle on Other Road	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	9	9
Total People	5	1	12	6	80	104





	Unbe				
Age Groups	Male	Male		Percent of Female	Total People
0-4	1	20%	0	0%	1
5-9	0	0%	0	0%	0
10-14	0	0%	1	50%	1
15-19	0	0%	0	0%	0
20-24	1	20%	0	0%	1
25-29	1	20%	1	50%	2
30-34	0	0%	0	0%	0
35-39	0	0%	0	0%	0
40-44	1	20%	0	0%	1
45-49	0	0%	0	0%	0
50-54	0	0%	0	0%	0
55-59	0	0%	0	0%	0
60-64	1	20%	0	0%	1
65-69	0	0%	0	0%	0
70 +	0	0%	0	0%	0
Missing Data	0	0%	0	0%	0
Total People	5	100%	2	100%	7

Table 17: Killed or Injured Unbelted People in Crashesby Sex and Age Group in De Baca County, 2016

¹ People injured are in one of three categories: suspected serious injury, suspected minor injury, or possible injury. ² Excludes people in or on buses, heavy trucks, motorcycles, or ATVs.




Figure 8: Seatbelt Use by People in Crashes with Fatal or Suspected Serious Injuries in De Baca County, 2012-2016

Produced for the NMDOT, Traffic Safety Division, Traffic Records Bureau, under Contract 5801 by the University of New Mexico, Geospatial and Population Studies, Traffic Research Unit








Figure 9: People in Crashes by Age Group and Sex in De Baca County, 2016

* In 2016, De Baca County had 1 people in crashes for which age or sex data were missing.




Figure 10: People in Alcohol-involved Crashes by Age Group and Sex in De Baca County, 2016

* In 2016, De Baca County had 0 people in alcohol-involved crashes for which age or sex data were missing.





Table 18: Pedestrians and Pedalcyclists in Crashes by Age Group inDe Baca County, 2012-2016

Ago Groups	F	Pedestrians a	nd Pedalcycli	sts ¹ in Crashe	s	5-Year Total
Age Groups	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	People
0-4	0	0	0	0	0	0
5-9	0	0	0	0	0	0
10-14	0	0	0	0	0	0
15-19	0	0	0	1	0	1
20-24	0	0	0	0	0	0
25-29	0	0	0	0	0	0
30-34	0	0	0	0	0	0
35-39	0	0	0	0	0	0
40-44	0	0	0	0	0	0
45-49	0	0	0	0	0	0
50-54	0	0	0	0	0	0
55-59	0	0	0	0	0	0
60-64	0	0	0	0	0	0
65-69	0	0	0	0	0	0
70 +	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Data	0	0	1	0	0	1
Total People	0	0	1	1	0	2

Table 19: Pedestrians and Pedalcyclists in Crashes by Alcohol Involvementand Severity of Injuries in De Baca County, 2016

	I	Pedestrians a	nd Pedalcycli	sts ¹ in Crashe	s		
Alcohol Involvement	Fatalities (Class K)	Suspected Serious Injuries (Class A)	Suspected Minor Injuries (Class B)	Possible Injuries (Class C)	No Apparent Injury (Class O)	Total People	
Total Pedalcyclists	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Involved	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Not Involved	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Pedestrians	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Involved	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Not Involved	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total People	0	0	0	0	0	0	

¹ Pedestrians and pedalcyclists are counted as non-motorized vehicles, when involved in a crash with a motor vehicle.









Figure 11: DWI Arrests in De Baca County, Showing First and Repeat DWI Arrests, 2012-2016

*Values are based upon the year of the arrest.

Figure 12: DWI Convictions in De Baca County, Showing First and Repeat DWI Convictions, 2012-2016



*Values are based upon the year of the conviction.








Figure 13: DWI Arrests by Hour in De Baca County, 2016

* In 2016, De Baca County had 0 arrests for which hour data were missing.

			Year	Year			
Day of Week	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Average	
Sunday	1	2	2	0	3	2	
Monday	0	0	2	0	0	0	
Tuesday	1	2	3	1	0	1	
Wednesday	1	2	1	2	0	1	
Thursday	1	1	2	2	1	1	
Friday	3	2	0	2	0	1	
Saturday	3	2	1	1	2	2	
Total Arrests	10	11	11	8	6	9	





Ago Groups		rrests ¹			
Age Groups	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
15-19	0	1	1	1	0
20-24	1	0	1	0	0
25-29	0	1	2	0	0
30-34	2	2	0	0	0
35-39	1	2	2	1	0
40-44	0	1	1	2	0
45-49	0	0	0	0	0
50-54	0	1	0	0	0
55-59	0	2	0	2	0
60-64	0	0	0	0	0
65-69	0	0	1	0	0
70 +	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0
Total Drivers	4	10	8	6	0

Table 21: Driver First DWI Arrests by Age Group in De Baca County, 2012-2016

¹Values are based upon the year of the arrest.

Table 22: Driver	Reneat DWI	Arrests by A	ge Group i	n De Baca	County, 2012-2016
	hepeat D mi	micsus by m	ige ur oup n	n De Daca	County, Loid Loio

Ago Groups	Driver Repeat DWI Arrests ¹					
Age Groups	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
15-19	0	0	0	0	0	
20-24	0	0	0	0	0	
25-29	0	0	0	0	0	
30-34	1	0	0	1	0	
35-39	1	0	0	0	1	
40-44	0	0	0	1	1	
45-49	1	0	2	0	2	
50-54	2	1	0	0	1	
55-59	0	0	1	0	0	
60-64	1	0	0	0	0	
65-69	0	0	0	0	0	
70 +	0	0	0	0	1	
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Drivers	6	1	3	2	6	

¹Values are based upon the year of the arrest.





Ago Groups	Driver First DWI Convictions ¹					
Age Groups	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
15-19	0	1	0	1	0	
20-24	0	1	0	0	0	
25-29	1	1	2	0	0	
30-34	1	2	0	0	0	
35-39	1	1	1	1	1	
40-44	0	1	1	2	0	
45-49	0	0	1	0	1	
50-54	0	1	0	0	0	
55-59	0	0	0	1	2	
60-64	0	0	0	0	0	
65-69	0	0	1	0	0	
70 +	0	0	0	0	0	
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Drivers	3	8	6	5	4	

Table 23: Driver First DWI Convictions by Age Group in De Baca County, 2012-2016

¹Values are based upon the year of the conviction.

Are Crowns	Driver Repeat DWI Convictions ¹					
Age Groups	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
15-19	0	0	0	0	0	
20-24	0	0	0	0	0	
25-29	0	0	0	0	0	
30-34	1	0	0	0	1	
35-39	1	0	1	0	0	
40-44	0	0	0	0	1	
45-49	0	0	0	0	1	
50-54	0	0	2	0	0	
55-59	0	0	1	0	0	
60-64	0	1	0	0	0	
65-69	0	0	0	0	0	
70 +	0	0	0	0	1	
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Drivers	2	1	4	0	4	

¹Values are based upon the year of the conviction.





Table 25: Court Disposition of DWI Arrests for De Baca County and the State, 2016

Court Disposition of DWI Arrest ¹	De Baca County	Statewide	Percent of Statewide
Total DWI Arrests	6	10,344	0.1%
DWI Arrests Resulting in Convictions	4	5,541	0.1%
DWI Arrests Resulting in Dismissals ²	0	1,512	0.0%
DWI Arrests Awaiting Disposition	2	3,291	0.1%

¹ These are the number of DWI arrests in 2016 and whether the case resulted in a conviction or dismissal, or is still awaiting court disposition, as reported in the NM MVD Citation Tracking System (CTS) as of December 2017.

² For this table, a very small number of "not guilty" rulings may be included in the category Dismissals.

Table 26: Average Number of Days from Date of DWI Arrest toDate of Court Disposition in De Baca County and the State, 2016

	Average Nur	Deviation from		
Court Disposition	De Baca County	Statewide	Statewide Average	
DWI Conviction	113	157	-44	
DWI Dismissal	0	179	-179	






Table 27: Court Disposition of DWI Arrests in De Baca County, 2012-2016

Year of DWI		Total DWI			
Arrest ¹	First DWI Conviction	Repeat DWI Conviction	Dismissed	Awaiting Disposition	Arrests
2012	4	4	2	0	10
2013	7	1	3	0	11
2014	6	1	4	0	11
2015	6	1	0	1	8
2016	1	3	0	2	6

¹Values are based upon the year of the arrest.

Figure 14: Court Dispositions by Percentage of DWI Arrests in De Baca County, 2012-2016



*Table 27 contains the values used to calculate percentages shown in Figure 14.



Crashes in De Baca County, New Mexico, 2016



http://tru.unm.edu CO#5801 tru@unm.edu

Map created by the Traffic Research Unit, Geospatial & Population Studies at UNM

