




2017 Community Report Quay County



Produced for the New Mexico Department of Transportation, Traffic Safety Division, Traffic Records Bureau, Under Contract 6093 by the University of New Mexico, Geospatial and Population Studies, Traffic Research Unit

Distributed in compliance with New Mexico Statute 66-7-214 as a reference source regarding New Mexico traffic crashes

For the purposes of this report, data are compiled by the University of New Mexico, Geospatial and Population Studies, Traffic Research Unit (TRU), on behalf of the New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT). Data in this report may differ from that in other data sources, such as the Federal Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS), due to the timing of publications and rules for how data are compiled and maintained in Federal vs. State databases. If you have questions regarding this report, please contact the Traffic Safety Division at 505-827-0427.

http://tru.unm.edu/Crash-Reports/Community-Reports/





Definitions

Aggravated DWI – A driver arrested for 1) driving with a BAC of 0.16 or higher, 2) driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs and causing bodily injury to a human being as a result, or 3) driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs and refusing to submit to a BAC test at the time of arrest for DWI.

Alcohol-involved Crash – A crash for which the Uniform Crash Report indicated that 1) a DWI citation was issued, 2) alcohol was a contributing factor, or 3) a person in control of a vehicle (including a pedestrian or pedalcyclist) was suspected of being under the influence of alcohol.

Alcohol-involved Driver – A person in control of a vehicle who was cited for DWI or indicated on the Uniform Crash Report as being either suspected or determined by testing to be under the influence of alcohol. There can be multiple alcohol-involved drivers in a single alcohol-involved crash.

Crash – A reported incident on a public roadway involving one or more motor vehicles that resulted in death, personal injury, or at least \$500 in property damage. Crashes on private property (such as a parking lot) are not included.

DWI Arrest (Citation) – In this report, a DWI arrest (a.k.a. a DWI citation) is a driver arrested for either DWI or aggravated DWI. New Mexico's legal limit for presumption of driving while intoxicated (DWI) is 0.08 for non-commercial drivers older than 21 years of age, 0.04 for commercial vehicle drivers, and 0.02 for drivers younger than 21 years of age.

DWI Conviction – A driver convicted of driving under the intoxicating influence of alcohol, narcotics, or pathogenic drugs, including aggravated DWI.

Fatal Crash – A crash in which at least one person was killed. More than one person can be killed in a single fatal crash. Fatalities – The number of people killed in a crash. The terms "killed" and "deaths" are synonymous with "fatalities." A fatality is crash-related if it occurs at the time of the crash or if the person(s) involved in the crash dies within 30 days. Injury Crash – A reported crash in which at least one person was injured. Injury crashes involve at least one suspected serious injury (Class A), suspected minor injury (Class B), or possible injury (Class C). Fatal crashes are not included. Missing Data – An indication that the applicable field on the UCR form was left blank or contained an invalid code. Starting with crashes that occurred in 2012, improvements in the identification of missing data in the NMDOT crash database led to an increase in the reported amount of missing data.

Pedalcyclist – A person riding a mechanism of transport that is powered solely by pedals (a.k.a. bicyclist).

Pedestrian – A person on foot, walking, running, jogging, hiking, sitting or lying down who is involved in a motor vehicle traffic crash.

Sources

Crash Data – New Mexico Department of Transportation, Traffic Safety Division, Traffic Records Bureau, Traffic Crash Database, as of the report date below. Crash data are compiled using NMDOT Uniform Crash Reports (UCR), submitted by law enforcement agencies in the state, for any incident on a public roadway involving one or more motor vehicles that resulted in death, injury, or at least \$500 in property damage. These reports are processed by the NMDOT Traffic Records Bureau and analyzed by the University of New Mexico, Geospatial and Population Studies, Traffic Research Unit (TRU).

DWI Citation Tracking System (CTS) – New Mexico Taxation and Revenue Department (NM TRD), Motor Vehicle Division (MVD), DWI Citation Tracking System (CTS), as of February 2019. Repeat offenders are identified by the combination of account key, arrest date, and citation number. County data are based upon the county where the arrest took place. City data are based upon the city where the offender resides.

Urban Areas – New Mexico Department of Transportation, Asset Management and Planning, 2010 U.S. Census Urbanized Area Boundaries, NMDOT-Adjusted, and U.S. Census Urban Clusters, August 21, 2013. In crashes before 2013, "urban" was defined as a town or city with a population of at least 2,500 people.





Table 1: Total Crashes and Alcohol-involved Crashes by Crash Severity in Quay County, 2008-2017

		Total C	Crashes		Alcohol-involved Crashes					
Year	Fatal	Injury	Property Damage Only	Total	Fatal	Injury	Property Damage Only	Total		
2008	9	51	153	213	1	3	2	6		
2009	3	74	199	276	1	5	2	8		
2010	8	76	141	225	0	3	1	4		
2011	5	58	147	210	1	1	5	7		
2012	4	50	137	191	0	4	5	9		
2013	5	52	96	153	1	5	2	8		
2014	8	46	93	147	2	3	3	8		
2015	8	57	154	219	1	4	2	7		
2016	2	49	98	149	1	2	4	7		
2017	2	47	138	187	0	3	4	7		

Figure 1: Alcohol-involved Fatal and Injury Crashes Compared with Non-alcohol-involved Fatal and Injury Crashes in Quay County, 2008-2017








Table 2: Crashes by Month in Quay County, 2013-2017

Month			Crashes			5-Year
WIOTILIT	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Average
January	19	8	14	14	7	12
February	8	7	22	9	9	11
March	11	15	14	11	17	14
April	10	11	14	8	16	12
May	6	10	18	6	13	11
June	15	7	21	12	23	16
July	15	12	19	14	18	16
August	12	16	24	24	19	19
September	12	14	14	13	19	14
October	8	13	9	13	16	12
November	18	15	35	13	11	18
December	19	19	15	12	19	17
Total Crashes	153	147	219	149	187	171


Table 3: Alcohol-involved Crashes by Month in Quay County, 2013-2017

Month		Alcoho	ol-involved C	rashes		5-Year
WIOTICII	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Average
January	2	1	1	0	1	1
February	0	1	0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0	0
April	1	1	2	0	1	1
May	0	0	1	1	0	0
June	0	1	2	3	0	1
July	2	0	0	0	1	1
August	0	1	0	1	3	1
September	1	1	0	0	0	0
October	0	1	0	1	0	0
November	1	0	0	1	0	0
December	0	1	1	0	1	1
Total Crashes	8	8	7	7	7	7






Figure 2: Crashes by Hour in Quay County, 2017



* In 2017, Quay County had 2 crashes for which hour data were missing.

Figure 3: Alcohol-involved Crashes by Hour in Quay County, 2017



* In 2017, Quay County had 0 alcohol-involved crashes for which hour data were missing.





Table 4: Alcohol-involved Crashes by Day of Week in Quay County, 2013-2017

Day of Week		5-Year				
Day of Week	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Average
Sunday	2	3	0	2	1	2
Monday	0	1	1	0	2	1
Tuesday	1	0	0	2	1	1
Wednesday	2	0	1	1	1	1
Thursday	0	1	1	0	0	0
Friday	1	1	2	1	1	1
Saturday	2	2	2	1	1	2
Total Crashes	8	8	7	7	7	7

Table 5: Fatal and Injury Crashes by Day of Week in Quay County, 2013-2017


Day of Week		Fatal and Injury Crashes							
Day of Week	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Average			
Sunday	12	10	16	10	3	10			
Monday	4	4	10	8	7	7			
Tuesday	6	4	8	6	6	6			
Wednesday	6	12	6	6	7	7			
Thursday	7	6	7	8	7	7			
Friday	11	8	8	4	9	8			
Saturday	11	10	10	9	10	10			
Total Crashes	57	54	65	51	49	55			

Table 6: Pedestrian and Pedalcyclist Crashes by Day of Week in Quay County, 2013-2017

Day of Wook		5-Year				
Day of Week	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Average
Sunday	0	0	0	0	1	0
Monday	0	0	1	0	0	0
Tuesday	0	0	0	1	0	0
Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Friday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saturday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Crashes	0	0	1	1	1	1




Figure 4: Fatal and Injury Crashes by Hour in Quay County, 2017



^{*} In 2017, Quay County had 0 crashes for which hour data were missing.

Figure 5: Pedestrian and Pedalcyclist Crashes by Hour in Quay County, 2017



^{*} In 2017, Quay County had 0 crashes for which hour data were missing.





Table 7: Severity of Injuries to People in Crashes by Rural and Urban Location in Quay County, 2017

		People in Cra	shes by Sever	ity of Injuries			
Urban and Rural Locations by Alcohol-involvement	Fatalities (Class K)	Suspected Serious Injuries (Class A)	Suspected Minor Injuries (Class B)	Possible Injuries (Class C)	No Apparent Injuries (Class O)	Total People	
People in Alcohol-involved Crashes	0	0	2	1	9	12	
Urban	0	0	0	0	4	4	
Rural Non-Interstate	0	0	2	0	5	7	
Rural Interstate	0	0	0	1	0	1	
People in Crashes	2	10	34	19	314	379	
Urban	0	2	1	2	99	104	
Rural Non-Interstate	0	6	13	3	88	110	
Rural Interstate	2	2	20	14	127	165	
Percent in Alcohol-involved Crashes	0%	0%	6%	5%	3%	3%	

Table 8: Total Crashes by Roadway System and Crash Severity in Quay County, 2013-2017

Crack Savarity by Systam		C	crashes by Yea	ır		5-Year
Crash Severity by System	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Average
Total Rural Interstate	79	44	107	54	82	73
Fatal Crash	2	2	7	0	2	3
Injury Crash	31	16	27	22	25	24
Property Damage Only Crash	46	26	73	32	55	46
Total Rural Non-Interstate	48	84	43	47	60	57
Fatal Crash	2	6	1	1	0	2
Injury Crash	14	23	12	16	18	17
Property Damage Only Crash	32	55	30	30	42	38
Total Urban	26	19	69	48	45	41
Fatal Crash	1	0	0	1	0	0
Injury Crash	7	7	18	11	4	9
Property Damage Only Crash	18	12	51	36	41	32





Table 9: Total Crashes by Crash Classification in Quay County, 2013-2017

0 101 15 11		Tota	l Crashes by	Year		5-Year
Crash Classification	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Average
Animal	14	24	20	23	33	23
Fixed Object	24	30	39	30	27	30
Other (Non-Collision)	13	3	16	11	11	11
Other (Object)	4	9	8	2	10	7
Other Vehicle	35	49	86	56	67	59
Overturn/Rollover	53	29	18	11	24	27
Parked Vehicle	5	2	7	7	7	6
Pedalcyclist	0	0	1	1	0	0
Pedestrian	0	0	0	0	1	0
Railroad Train	1	0	0	0	0	0
Rollover	0	0	20	6	5	6
Vehicle on Other Road	2	0	4	2	2	2
Missing Data	2	1	0	0	0	1
Total Crashes	153	147	219	149	187	171

Table 10: Vehicles in Crashes by Vehicle Type in Quay County, 2013-2017

1		Vehicles in	Crashes by V	ehicle Type		5-Year
Vehicle Type ¹	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Average
Bus	2	0	0	1	2	1
Motorcycle	2	3	5	2	2	3
Passenger	55	45	121	82	111	83
Pedalcyclist	0	0	1	1	0	0
Pedestrian	0	0	0	0	1	0
Pickup	38	42	55	39	50	45
Semi	68	63	74	55	53	63
Van/SUV/4WD	19	39	50	33	39	36
Other Vehicle	10	2	8	2	4	5
Missing Data	13	10	21	5	4	11
Total Vehicles	207	204	335	220	266	246

¹ Pedestrians and pedalcyclists are counted as non-motorized vehicles, when involved in a crash with a motor vehicle. See Page 17 for data on drivers of non-motorized vehicles in crashes (i.e. pedestrians and pedalcyclists).





Table 11: Motor Vehicle Drivers in Crashes by Vehicle Type and Age Group in Quay County, 2017

		Mot	or Vehicle ¹	Drivers by \	/ehicle Type	and Age G	roup		
Age Groups	Bus	Motor- cycle	Passenger	Pickup	Semi	Van 4WD SUV	Other Vehicle	Missing Data	Total Drivers
15-19	0	0	7	4	0	1	0	1	13
20-24	0	0	19	3	2	2	0	0	26
25-29	0	0	17	3	5	7	0	0	32
30-34	0	0	7	3	3	2	0	0	15
35-39	0	0	8	8	3	3	0	0	22
40-44	0	0	6	3	4	4	0	0	17
45-49	1	0	7	3	13	2	0	1	27
50-54	0	0	9	3	6	4	1	0	23
55-59	0	1	6	3	9	4	0	0	23
60-64	0	0	1	4	3	1	0	0	9
65-69	0	1	3	4	2	4	3	0	17
70 +	1	0	17	7	1	3	0	0	29
Missing Data	0	0	4	2	2	2	0	2	12
Total Drivers	2	2	111	50	53	39	4	4	265

Table 12: Alcohol-involved Motor Vehicle Drivers in Crashes by Vehicle Type and Age Group in Quay County, 2017


	А	lcohol-invo	lved Motor	Vehicle ¹ Dr	ivers by Veh	nicle Type ar	nd Age Grou	ıp	
Age Groups	Bus	Motor- cycle	Passenger	Pickup	Semi	Van 4WD SUV	Other Vehicle	Missing Data	Total Drivers
15-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20-24	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
25-29	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
30-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
35-39	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
40-44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
45-49	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
50-54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55-59	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
60-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
65-69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
70 +	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Drivers	0	0	2	3	1	1	0	0	7

¹See Page 17 for data on drivers of non-motorized vehicles in crashes (i.e. pedestrians and pedalcyclists).






Figure 6: Motor Vehicle Drivers in Crashes by Age Group and Sex in Quay County, 2017



^{*} In 2017, Quay County had 15 drivers in crashes for which age or sex data were missing.

Figure 7: Alcohol-involved Motor Vehicle Drivers in Crashes by Age Group and Sex in Quay County, 2017



^{*} In 2017, Quay County had 0 drivers in crashes for which age or sex data were missing.





Table 13: Alcohol-involved Motor Vehicle Drivers Under 21 (Ages 15-20) in Crashes in Quay County, 2013-2017

Age ¹		5-Year				
Age	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total
15	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	0	1	0	0	0	1
18	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	0	0	2	0	0	2
20	0	0	1	0	0	1
Total Drivers	0	1	3	0	0	4

Table 14: Motor Vehicle Drivers Under 21 (Ages 15-20) in Crashes by Age, Sex and Alcohol-involvement in Quay County, 2017

		Total [Orivers			Alcohol-inv	olved Drivers	
Age ¹	Se	х	Total Percent of		Sex		Total	Percent of
J	Male	Female	Drivers	Total	Male	Female	Drivers	Total
15	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
16	2	2	4	20%	0	0	0	0%
17	3	0	3	15%	0	0	0	0%
18	1	0	1	5%	0	0	0	0%
19	4	1	5	25%	0	0	0	0%
20	4	3	7	35%	0	0	0	0%
Total Drivers	14	6	20	100%	0	0	0	0%

¹ For analysis of drivers under age 21, when the driver age or sex are not identified on the crash report (typically hitand-run drivers), the driver data are considered unreliable and are excluded from the analysis.





Table 15: Frequency of Contributing Factors in Crashes by Crash Severity in Quay County, 2017

	Frequ	ency of Contributi	ng Factor ¹ by Crash Se	everity
Contributing Factors	Frequency in Fatal Crashes	Frequency in Injury Crashes	Frequency in Property Damage Only Crashes	Frequency in All Crashes
Human	2	69	127	198
Driver Inattention	0	22	40	62
Excessive Speed	0	7	8	15
Failed to Yield Right of Way	0	2	13	15
Other Improper Driving	0	8	7	15
Drove Left Of Center	1	6	7	14
Speed Too Fast for Conditions	1	3	7	11
Avoid No Contact - Other	0	3	7	10
Avoid No Contact - Vehicle	0	2	7	9
Passed Stop Sign	0	4	3	7
Alcohol Involved	0	3	4	7
Following Too Closely	0	2	4	6
Improper Overtaking	0	1	5	6
Improper Backing	0	1	4	5
Improper Lane Change	0	1	4	5
Made Improper Turn	0	2	2	4
Disregarded Traffic Signal	0	0	3	3
Vehicle Skidded Before Brake	0	1	1	2
Cell Phone	0	1	0	1
Failed to Yield to Police Vehicle	0	0	1	1
Driverless Moving Vehicle	0	0	0	0
Failed to Yield to Emergency Vehicle	0	0	0	0
High Speed Pursuit	0	0	0	0
Pedestrian Error	0	0	0	0
Texting	0	0	0	0
Drug Involved	0	0	0	0
Vehicle	0	2	12	14
Defective Tires	0	1	7	8
Other Mechanical Defect	0	1	4	5
Inadequate Brakes	0	0	1	1
Defective Steering	0	0	0	0
Environment	0	0	0	0
Low Visibility Due to Smoke	0	0	0	0
Road Defect	0	0	0	0
Traffic Control Not Functioning	0	0	0	0
Other	3	15	93	111
None	1	9	70	80
Other - No Driver Error	2	6	23	31

 $^{^{1}\,\}mathrm{Multiple}$ contributing factors may be reported for any vehicle in a crash.





Table 16: People in Crashes by Crash Classification and Severity of Injuries in Quay County, 2017

		People in Cra	shes by Sever	ity of Injuries		
Crash Classification	Fatalities (Class K)	Suspected Serious Injuries (Class A)	Suspected Minor Injuries (Class B)	Possible Injuries (Class C)	No Apparent Injuries (Class O)	Total People
Animal	0	0	1	1	44	46
Fixed Object	0	1	5	2	27	35
Other (Non-Collision)	0	3	2	0	13	18
Other (Object)	0	0	1	0	14	15
Other Vehicle	0	3	9	10	171	193
Overturn/Rollover	0	1	12	2	21	36
Parked Vehicle	0	0	0	0	20	20
Pedalcyclist	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pedestrian	1	0	0	0	2	3
Railroad Train	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rollover	1	2	3	4	0	10
Vehicle on Other Road	0	0	1	0	2	3
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total People	2	10	34	19	314	379






Table 17: Killed or Injured Unbelted People in Crashes by Sex and Age Group in Quay County, 2017

	Unbe	Ited People I	Cilled or Inju	red ^{1,2}	Total
Age Groups	Male	Percent of Male	Female	Percent of Female	People
0-4	1	25%	0	0%	1
5-9	0	0%	0	0%	0
10-14	0	0%	0	0%	0
15-19	1	25%	1	50%	2
20-24	1	25%	0	0%	1
25-29	0	0%	0	0%	0
30-34	0	0%	0	0%	0
35-39	0	0%	0	0%	0
40-44	0	0%	0	0%	0
45-49	0	0%	0	0%	0
50-54	0	0%	0	0%	0
55-59	0	0%	0	0%	0
60-64	0	0%	0	0%	0
65-69	1	25%	1	50%	2
70 +	0	0%	0	0%	0
Missing Data	0	0%	0	0%	0
Total People	4	100%	2	100%	6

 $^{^{1} \} People \ injured \ are \ in \ one \ of \ three \ categories: \ suspected \ serious \ injury, \ suspected \ minor \ injury, \ or \ possible \ injury.$

Figure 8: Seatbelt Use by People in Crashes with Fatal or Suspected Serious Injuries in Quay County, 2013-2017




 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ Excludes people in or on buses, heavy trucks, motorcycles, or ATVs.






Figure 9: People in Crashes by Age Group and Sex in Quay County, 2017



^{*} In 2017, Quay County had 18 people in crashes for which age or sex data were missing.

Figure 10: People in Alcohol-involved Crashes by Age Group and Sex in Quay County, 2017



^{*} In 2017, Quay County had 2 people in alcohol-involved crashes for which age or sex data were missing.





Table 18: Pedestrians and Pedalcyclists in Crashes by Age Group in Quay County, 2013-2017

Age Groups	F	Pedestrians a	nd Pedalcyclis	sts ¹ in Crashe	s	5-Year Total
Age Gloups	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	People
0-4	0	0	0	0	0	0
5-9	0	0	0	0	0	0
10-14	0	0	0	1	0	1
15-19	0	0	0	0	0	0
20-24	0	0	0	0	0	0
25-29	0	0	0	0	0	0
30-34	0	0	0	0	0	0
35-39	0	0	0	0	1	1
40-44	0	0	0	0	0	0
45-49	0	0	0	0	0	0
50-54	0	0	0	0	0	0
55-59	0	0	0	0	0	0
60-64	0	0	0	0	0	0
65-69	0	0	0	0	0	0
70 +	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Data	0	0	1	0	0	1
Total People	0	0	1	1	1	3

Table 19: Pedestrians and Pedalcyclists in Crashes by Alcohol Involvement and Severity of Injuries in Quay County, 2017


	ſ	Pedestrians a	nd Pedalcycli	sts ¹ in Crashe	s		
Alcohol Involvement	Fatalities (Class K)	(Class K) Injuries Injuries (Class C)		Injuries Apparent Injury		Total People	
Total Pedalcyclists	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Involved	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Not Involved	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Pedestrians	1	0	0	0	0	1	
Involved	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Not Involved	1	0	0	0	0	1	
Total People	1	0	0	0	0	1	

¹ Pedestrians and pedalcyclists are counted as non-motorized vehicles, when involved in a crash with a motor vehicle.






Figure 11: DWI Arrests in Quay County, Showing First and Repeat DWI Arrests, 2013-2017



*Values are based upon the year of the arrest.


Figure 12: DWI Convictions in Quay County, Showing First and Repeat DWI Convictions, 2013-2017



*Values are based upon the year of the conviction.



Figure 13: DWI Arrests by Hour in Quay County, 2017



^{*} In 2017, Quay County had 9 arrests for which hour data were missing.

Table 20: DWI Arrests by Day of Week in Quay County, 2013-2017

5 (14)			Year			5-Year
Day of Week	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Average
Sunday	10	9	5	11	7	8
Monday	6	5	5	7	5	6
Tuesday	9	3	2	6	2	4
Wednesday	3	9	9	2	8	6
Thursday	9	5	8	5	9	7
Friday	9	13	8	11	4	9
Saturday	18	12	14	17	8	14
Total Arrests	64	56	51	59	43	55





Table 21: Driver First DWI Arrests by Age Group in Quay County, 2013-2017

Ago Groups	Driver First DWI Arrests ¹						
Age Groups	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017		
15-19	2	3	6	1	0		
20-24	3	4	9	4	2		
25-29	5	2	7	8	3		
30-34	7	2	7	5	4		
35-39	5	1	2	4	3		
40-44	4	3	4	6	2		
45-49	5	1	2	2	0		
50-54	5	5	4	4	2		
55-59	2	3	0	2	2		
60-64	1	4	0	2	2		
65-69	0	0	0	0	0		
70 +	0	0	0	1	2		
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0		
Total Drivers	39	28	41	39	22		

¹ Values are based upon the year of the arrest.

Table 22: Driver Repeat DWI Arrests by Age Group in Quay County, 2013-2017

Ago Groups	Driver Repeat DWI Arrests ¹						
Age Groups	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017		
15-19	0	0	0	1	0		
20-24	5	2	0	0	0		
25-29	2	5	2	3	0		
30-34	4	1	3	1	7		
35-39	1	2	0	2	3		
40-44	1	3	1	1	1		
45-49	4	5	2	5	1		
50-54	3	3	0	3	4		
55-59	2	3	1	0	2		
60-64	2	2	1	4	1		
65-69	1	0	0	0	0		
70 +	0	2	0	0	2		
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0		
Total Drivers	25	28	10	20	21		

¹ Values are based upon the year of the arrest.





Table 23: Driver First DWI Convictions by Age Group in Quay County, 2013-2017

Ago Groups	Driver First DWI Convictions ¹						
Age Groups	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017		
15-19	2	3	4	2	0		
20-24	4	1	8	3	3		
25-29	1	2	4	9	3		
30-34	5	3	4	5	2		
35-39	6	1	1	3	2		
40-44	3	3	2	3	2		
45-49	4	2	2	0	0		
50-54	2	5	3	3	2		
55-59	2	0	3	1	1		
60-64	1	4	1	2	0		
65-69	0	0	0	0	0		
70 +	0	0	0	1	0		
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0		
Total Drivers	30	24	32	32	15		

¹ Values are based upon the year of the conviction.

Table 24: Driver Repeat DWI Convictions by Age Group in Quay County, 2013-2017

Ago Groups	Driver Repeat DWI Convictions ¹							
Age Groups	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017			
15-19	0	0	0	0	1			
20-24	2	2	0	0	0			
25-29	1	2	1	4	0			
30-34	4	1	3	1	2			
35-39	0	3	0	0	3			
40-44	3	2	1	1	0			
45-49	1	2	4	3	2			
50-54	0	2	1	2	2			
55-59	0	1	2	0	0			
60-64	0	1	1	4	1			
65-69	2	0	0	0	0			
70 +	0	2	0	0	1			
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0			
Total Drivers	13	18	13	15	12			

¹Values are based upon the year of the conviction.





Table 25: Court Disposition of DWI Arrests for Quay County and the State, 2017

Court Disposition of DWI Arrest ¹	Quay County	Statewide	Percent of Statewide
Total DWI Arrests	43	10,501	0.4%
DWI Arrests Resulting in Convictions	24	5,533	0.4%
DWI Arrests Resulting in Dismissals ²	9	1,426	0.6%
DWI Arrests Awaiting Disposition	10	3,542	0.3%

¹ These are the number of DWI arrests in 2017 and whether the case resulted in a conviction or dismissal, or is still awaiting court disposition, as reported in the NM MVD Citation Tracking System (CTS) as of February 2019.

Table 26: Average Number of Days from Date of DWI Arrest to Date of Court Disposition in Quay County and the State, 2017

	Average Nur	Deviation from		
Court Disposition	Quay County	Statewide	Statewide Average	
DWI Conviction	124	166	-42	
DWI Dismissal	156	175	-19	

² For this table, a very small number of "not guilty" rulings may be included in the category Dismissals.






Table 27: Court Disposition of DWI Arrests in Quay County, 2013-2017

Year of DWI		Total DWI			
Arrest ¹	First DWI Conviction	Repeat DWI Conviction	Dismissed	Awaiting Disposition	Arrests
2013	30	13	19	2	64
2014	25	23	8	0	56
2015	31	7	6	7	51
2016	32	17	6	4	59
2017	14	10	9	10	43

¹ Values are based upon the year of the arrest.

Figure 14: Court Dispositions by Percentage of DWI Arrests in Quay County, 2013-2017



*Table 27 contains the values used to calculate percentages shown in Figure 14.